

## REMARKS


In the above-noted Office Action, claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Applicant's Prior Art in view of Bhalla et al. However, the Examiner has indicated Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response, Claim 2 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of Claim 1 which has been canceled. Claims 7-14 were previously cancelled.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending, namely Claims 2-6 are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge those fees to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666. If a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of this Application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP


Dated: June 14, 2006

By:   
Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025  
(310) 207-3800

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendments, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on June 14, 2006

  
Linda D'Elia

6-14-06